Case 3:16-cv-00144-MMD-VPC Document 19 Filed 06/07/16 Page 1 of 10

20

25

following Joint Case Management Report. This Joint Case Management Report was forwarded to Golightly & Vannah for review and comment, but no response has been received.

A short statement of the nature of the case, including a description of each claim and defense.

This is an interpleader action filed by Plaintiff law firm Golightly & Vannah, PLLC ("Plaintiff"). Plaintiff alleges that it obtained settlement proceeds in personal injury claims asserted on behalf of clients, Hal Hamlett, Jessica Hamlett, Jaidyn Hamlett, and Jonathan Holland, against a third-party tortfeasor. Plaintiff seeks (1) to interplead the alleged total settlement proceeds of \$24,440.00; (2) payment to it of \$8,491.66 for attorneys' fees and costs; and (3) a determination of which of the Defendants are entitled to a portion of the settlement proceeds.

Defendant TJ Allen appearing in pro per has alleged the following:

"This letter is to serve as my answer to Case No. CV16-00245. My office provided medical care and treatment to Hal Hamlett who's balance is \$1,907.00, Jessica Hamlett who's balance is \$2,255.00 and Jonathan Holland who's balance is \$2,279.00 for injuries they sustained in a motor vehicle accident on 08/02/2013. I believe my treatment to be reasonable for his injuries. In the interest of good will, I am willing to reduce their balances by 50% plus expenses of \$213.00 or whatever the court deems reasonable and fair."

Defendant Renown Regional Medical Center has alleged the following primary Affirmative Defenses:

- Renown alleges that it has a general lien for medical services provided to 1. Defendants Hal Hamlett and Jaidyn Hamlett which should take precedence and priority over all other medical liens alleged by the other healthcare providers named as Defendants herein against the funds on deposit with this Court.
- Plaintiffs have failed to perfect their attorney's lien in accordance with the 2. provisions of NRS 18.015, and are not entitled to the recovery Plaintiff has requested herein.

Defendant Northern Nevada Operating Engineers Health & Welfare Trust Fund, ("Defendant" or the "Trust Fund") named in the Complaint in Interpleader as Operating Engineers Funds, Inc. dba Operating Engineers Health & Welfare Trust Fund has alleged the following primary Affirmative Defenses:

4

6

8 9

10

12

> 18 19

20 21

22 23

24 25

> 26 27

28

- Defendant Trust Fund's Summary Plan Description provides it a contractual 1. right to subrogation against third-party payments. Pursuant to the Summary Plan Description, the Trust Fund has a lien against the settlement proceeds and is entitled to payment of its lien in full without reduction because it takes precedence and priority over all other medical and attorney liens.
- 2. Defendant Trust Fund's subrogation claim arises under federal law, Section 502(a)(3) of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended ("ERISA"), 29 U.S.C. 1132(a)(3). Pursuant to ERISA § 502(e)(1), Defendant Trust Fund's claim is subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the federal courts. 29 U.S.C. §1132(e)(1).
- Under governing federal statues and case law Plaintiff's claimed charging lien 3. is pre-empted by ERISA and does not takes priority over Defendant Trust Fund Trust Fund's subrogation claim.
- Plaintiff has failed to perfect its attorney's lien in accordance with the 4. provisions of NRCP 18.015 and is not entitled to the recovery Plaintiff has requested.
- Under the law and facts and circumstances presented, Plaintiff is not entitled 5. to the relief it seeks nor is Plaintiff entitled to a discharge from this action.

Defendant Universal Services, Inc. has alleged the following primary Affirmative Defenses:

- Plaintiff has failed to state a claim of action against answering Defendant upon 1. which relief can be granted.
- Defendant Universal Services, Inc. holds a lien against any personal injury 2. settlement proceeds of Jessica Hamlett for the full amount of the billed charges and costs originally incurred. Said billed charges and costs incurred by Jessica Hamlett were for medical care and services provided to her in direct relation to the injury(ies) Jessica Hamlett sustained as a result of an automobile accident.
- Answer Defendant alleges that it has been necessary to employ the services 3. of The Bourassa Law Group to defend this action, and a reasonable sum should be allowed to answering Defendant for attorney's fees, together with costs expended in this action, pursuant to the

recover?

- (c) Do any of the individual Defendants have a priority lien or claim or right to recover?
- (d) Has the Plaintiff perfected their attorney's lien?
- (e) Is Plaintiff entitled to all its claimed fees and costs in the tort action and/or in this Interpleader Action?
- (f) Are any Individual Defendants entitled to their fees and costs in responding to this Interpleader Action?
- (g) May TJ Allen in propria persona represent TJ Allen, LLC?
- 3. The jurisdictional bases for the case, citing specific jurisdictional statutes.

This action is a civil action of which this Court has original jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, and is one which may be removed to this Court by Defendant Northern Nevada Operating Engineers Health & Welfare Trust Fund ("Defendant" or the "Trust Fund") named in the Complaint in Interpleader as Operating Engineers Funds, Inc. dba Operating Engineers Health & Welfare Trust Fund pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 1441(a).

Defendant is a multi-employer welfare benefit trust established in accordance with the provisions of Section 302(c)(5) of the Labor-Management Relations Act of 1947, as amended, 29 U.S.C. § 186(c)(5). Defendant is an employee welfare benefit plan within the definition of ERISA § 3(1). 29 U.S.C. § 1002(1). Defendant's principal place of business is within this District in Reno, Nevada.

To determine whether federal question jurisdiction exists over an interpleader action, the court employs the declaratory judgment standard. "Whether the action is styled as one for interpleader or for declaratory relief, the cause of action litigated is not that of the plaintiff but that of the defendants." Morango Band of Mission Indians v. California State Bd. of Equalization, 858 F.2d 1376, 1384 (9th Cir. 1988). "Federal jurisdiction over both types of actions is proper if the causes(s) of action anticipated by the plaintiff's suit would arise under federal law." Id. An ERISA trust fund's subrogation claim arises under federal law pursuant to ERISA § 502(a)(3), 29 U.S.C. § 1132(a)(3). Sereboff v. Mid Atlantic Medical Services, Inc., 547 U.S. 356, 369 (2006). Therefore,

3

4 5

7 8

6

9 10

11

12 13

14 15

16 17 18

20

19

21 22

23

24 25

26

27 28 an interpleader action that seeks to resolve an ERISA trust fund's subrogation claim triggers federal jurisdiction. As stated in Lincoln General Ins. Co. v. State Farm Mutual Automobile Ins. Co., 425 F.Supp.2d 738, 743 (E.D. Va. 2006):

"[I]t should also be noted that there is independent federal jurisdiction over this action under ERISA. This is so because NTCA's subrogation claim against Lincoln General is plainly governed by the provisions of that federal statute. Indeed, the Fourth Circuit has held that where, as here, an ERISA plan asserts a subrogation right to reimbursement, the plan may file that action in federal court pursuant to Section 502(a)(3) of ERISA ...'

"Congress has clearly manifested an intent to make causes of action within the scope of the civil enforcement provisions of § 502(a) removable to federal court." Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. Taylor, 481 U.S. 58, 66, 107 S.Ct. 1542, 95 L.Ed.2d 55 (1987).

Under the well-pleaded complaint rule the interpleader action does not allege a federal cause of action on its face. "One corollary of the well-pleaded complaint rule developed in the case law, however, is that congress may so completely pre-empt a particular area that any civil complaint raising this select group of claims is necessarily federal in character." Metropolitan Life Ins. Co. v. Taylor, 481 U.S. 58, 63-64, 107 S.Ct. 1542, 95 L.Ed.2d 55 (1987). "That is, '[o]n occasion ... the pre-emptive force of a statute is so "extraordinary" that it "converts an ordinary state common-law complaint into one stating a federal claim for purposes of the well pleaded complaint rule." Harris v. Provident Life and Accident Ins. Co., 26 F.3d 930, 934 (9th Cir. 1994) (quoting Caterpillar Inc. v. Williams, 482 U.S. 386, 393, 107 S.Ct. 2425, 96 L.Ed.2d 318 (1987)). Here the pre-emptive force of ERISA is so "extraordinary" that it converts the state court interpleader action to resolve an ERISA trust fund's subrogation claim into a federal claim for purposes of the well-pleaded complaint rule. This case therefore arises under the laws of the United States and is removable to federal court by the Defendant Trust Fund.

Any parties who have not been served and an explanation why they have not been 4. served; and any parties which have been served but have not answered or otherwise appeared.

All named parties have been served. The following Defendants have failed to answer or otherwise appear and the time to do so has passed:

Regional Emergency Medical Service Authority;

ase 3:16-cv-00144-MMD-VPC Document 19 Filed 06/07/16 Page 7 of 10

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 1 I certify that I am an employee of JENKINS LAW FIRM and that on this date the within 2 document entitled JOINT CASE MANAGEMENT REPORT was electronically filed with the 3 Clerk of the Court using the CM/ECF system, which will automatically e-serve the same on the 4 5 attorney of record set forth below: Robert D. Vannah, Esq., 6 L. Dipaul Marrero II, Esq Golightly & Vannah, PLLC 7 5555 Kietzke Lane, Suite 150 8 Reno, NV 89511 Attorneys for Plaintiff 9 Paul J. Anderson, Esq. Kim G. Rowe, Esq. 10 Maupin, Cox & LeGoy PO Box 30000 11 Reno, NV 89520 JENKINS LAW FIRM
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
1895 Plumas Street, Suite 2
Reno, Nevada 89509
(775) 829-7800 Fax (775) 829-0511 Attorneys for Defendant Renown Regional Medical Center 12 and that on this date I deposited for mailing at Reno, Nevada a true copy of the within document 13 addressed to: 14 Regional Emergency Medical Services Michele Calkins, PARASEC 15 Resident Agent Authority Reno Radiological Associates Chartered Lisa Cote 16 318 N Carson Street, #208 450 Edison Way Reno, NV 89502 Carson City, NV 89701 17 Julia S. Gold, Esq. Linda Haering, agent for 18 Resident Agent Christian Purgason Northern Nevada Emergency Physicians Robert G. Berry, Jr., M.D. 19 548 W Plumb Ave, Suite B 3700 Barron Way Reno, NV 89509 Reno, NV 89511 20 Mark J. Burassa, Esq. TJ Allen, LLC 21 1475 Terminal Way, Suite A4 Trent L. Richards, Esq. The Bourassa Law Group, LLC Reno, NV 89502 22 8668 Spring Mountain Road, Suite 101 Las Vegas, NV 89117 Jan Olivero 23 Attorneys for Defendant Universal Services. Maupin Cox & Legoy Inc. Resident Agent 24 Reno Orthopaedic Clinc Dr. Christensen 25 4785 Caughlin Pkwy PO Box 30000 26 DATED this 24 day of May, 2016.

Outie Lerry Reno, NV 89520 27 28